Unusual Products of the Reactions of Verbenone and Verbenol with *N*-Bromosuccinimide in the Presence of Water

O. V. Ardashov^a, I. V. Il'ina^b, D. V. Korchagina^b, K. P. Volcho^b, and N. F. Salakhutdinov^b

^a Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia

^b Vorozhtsov Novosibirsk Institute of Organic Chemistry, Siberian Division, Russian Academy of Sciences, pr. Akademika Lavrent'eva 9, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia e-mail: volcho@nioch.nsc.ru

Received March 5, 2009

Abstract—The major products of the reactions of (–)-verbenone and (+)-*trans*-verbenol with *N*-bromosuccinimide in the presence of water were compounds having an oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane skeleton but containing different numbers of bromine atoms in their molecules.

DOI: 10.1134/S1070428009100054

As a rule, olefins react with *N*-bromosuccinimide (NBS) in the presence of water to give the corresponding α -bromohydrins that are used in the synthesis of epoxides. This approach attracts interest, for it ensures formation of an epoxy group at more sterically hindered side of initial olefin. For example, 3-carene *cis*-epoxide was successfully synthesized in such a way, though this compound cannot be obtained by other methods [1, 2].

Published data on reactions of other widespread monoterpene, α -pinene, and its derivatives with NBS are very few in number and fragmentary. It was reported that α -pinene reacts with NBS to give complex mixtures of brominated and bromine-free compounds, among which verbenyl bromide, bornyl bromide, mirtenyl bromide, *p*-cymene, and probably fenchyl bromide were identified [3–6]. Furthermore, it was shown previously that the reaction of verbenone (I) with NBS in carbon tetrachloride is accompanied by allylic bromination with formation of compound II in which the pinane skeleton was conserved [7] (Scheme 1). On the other hand, (–)-*trans*-verbenol





[(-)-III] reacted with NBS in acetone to give O,O'-isopropylidene derivative of bromo-substituted diol with a *p*-menthane skeleton (compound IV; Scheme 2). The reaction of *cis*-verbenol with NBS followed an analogous pattern [8].



In the present work we examined for the first time the reactions of (–)-verbenone [(–)-I] and (+)-*trans*verbenol [(+)-III] with *N*-bromosuccinimide in the presence of water and found that these reactions led to products whose structure differed considerably from the structure of compounds II and IV obtained previously by reactions of (–)-I and (+)-III with NBS under different conditions.

The reactions were carried out in aqueous dioxane in the presence of CaCO₃ at 50°C, i.e., under the conditions ensuring successful formation of bromohydrins from monoterpenes [2, 9]. (–)-Verbenone [(–)-I] reacted with NBS in the above system to produce a fairly complex mixture of products, the major of which was compound V having a 6-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]-



octane skeleton. Compound V was isolated by column chromatography on silica gel (yield 22%, Scheme 3). Obviously, the reaction begins with attack by bromine atom at the double bond in molecule (–)-I, which is followed by rearrangement into cationic species with *p*-menthane skeleton. The latter takes up water molecule to give intermediate enone A which is capable of reacting with the second NBS molecule. Dibromide **B** thus formed undergoes intramolecular nucleophilic substitution of bromine atom by oxygen atom in the hydroxy group, finally leading to compound V.

The reaction of (+)-*trans*-verbenol [(+)-**III**] with NBS under analogous conditions followed a different pattern (Scheme 4). In this case, the product was di-

bromo-substituted bicyclic alcohol VI which was isolated in 39% yield. Presumably, the reaction involves initial formation of intermediate C which may be regarded as an analog of A (Scheme 3). Acetonide IV (see above) is likely to be formed through another enantiomer of cation D which is precursor of C. However, in our case the reaction does not stop at the stage of formation of *p*-menthane derivative, but concerted addition of bromine cation at the double bond and intramolecular heterocyclization give compound VI. Compound V could also be formed according to a mechanism similar to that shown in Scheme 4; but in this case the heterocyclization should be followed by dehydrobromination.



RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 45 No. 10 2009

When the reaction of (+)-**III** with NBS was performed at room temperature over a period of 3 days, we obtained a more complex mixture of products, but the major product was again compound **VI**.

We have found no published data on compounds V and VI, and their structure was determined on the basis of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra and high-resolution mass spectra. Structure VII as an alternative to V was ruled out taking into account the ¹³C NMR spectrum recorded from solution in CDCl₃ with addition of D₂O. The chemical shifts of carbon atoms attached to oxygen (δ_C 79.09 and 80.81 ppm) did not change upon addition of D₂O. If hydroxy groups were present, the corresponding signals would be displaced upfield due to isotope effect (replacement of OH by OD).



In the ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound VI in CDCl₃ only one signal at δ_C 70.45 ppm was displaced upfield ($\Delta\delta_C = 0.12$ ppm) upon addition of D₂O, indicating the presence of only one hydroxy group in its molecule. These data reject alternative structure VIII.

The presence of a coupling constant between the 2-H and *anti*-8-H protons (J = 1.0 Hz, *W*-coupling) in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **VI** suggests that these protons occupy equatorial positions. Orientation of the 3-H proton cannot be determined on the basis of the vicinal coupling constant for 2-H and 3-H (J = 4.2 Hz). On the other hand, paramagnetic shift of the *syn*-8-H signal relative to the corresponding signal of compound **V** is likely to result from deshielding effect of the hydroxy group and bromine atom on C² and C⁴, respectively, due to 1,3-diaxial interaction. Therefore, the 2-OH and 4-Br substituents are oriented *cis* with respect to each other and to the *syn*-8-H proton.

EXPERIMENTAL

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded from solutions in CDCl₃ or CDCl₃–CCl₄ (~1:1 by volume) on a Bruker DRX-500 spectrometer at 500.13 MHz for ¹H and 125.76 MHz for ¹³C; the chemical shifts were determined relative to the solvent signals (δ 7.24, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 76.90 ppm). The structure of the isolated com-

pounds was determined by analysis of their ¹H NMR spectra with the use of ¹H–¹H double resonance techniques, as well as by analysis of the ¹³C NMR spectra recorded with *J* modulation (JMOD) and off-resonance decoupling from protons and two-dimensional ¹³C–¹H heteronuclear correlation spectra using direct and longrange couplings (C–H COSY, ¹*J*_{CH} = 135 Hz; COLOC, HMBC, ^{2,3}*J*_{CH} = 10 Hz). The high-resolution mass spectra (electron impact, 70 eV) were obtained on a DFS Thermo Scientific spectrometer with direct sample admission into the ion source (a.m.u. range 0–500). The optical rotations ([α]_D] were measured on a PolAAr 3005 polarimeter.

(5S)-3-Bromo-4,7,7-trimethyl-6-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-3-en-2-one (V). N-Bromosuccinimide, 0.956 g (5.37 mmol), was added under stirring to a mixture of 0.400 g (2.67 mmol) of (-)-verbenone $[(-)-I, [\alpha]_D^{25} =$ -210.5° (c = 0.77, CHCl₃)], 0.265 g (2.65 mmol) of CaCO₃, 8 ml of water, and 16 ml of dioxane. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at 50-60°C and cooled to room temperature, 30 ml of water was added, and the product was extracted into diethyl ether $(3 \times 40 \text{ ml})$. The extracts were combined, washed with water $(3 \times$ 30 ml), 0.1 N aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ (2×20 ml), and water again (2×30 ml), and dried over Na₂SO₄. The drying agent was filtered off, the solvent was distilled off from the filtrate, and the residue was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel (Macherey-Nagel, 60–200 µm) using hexane-diethyl ether mixtures as eluent (gradient elution, 0 to 20% of diethyl ether). Yield 0.144 g (22%), $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -103.8^{\circ}$ (*c* = 1.54, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃–CCl₄), δ , ppm: 1.13 s $(C^{10}H_3)$, 1.32 s $(C^{9}H_3)$, 2.22 s $(C^{11}H_3)$, 2.24 d.d (syn-8-H, ${}^{2}J = 11.5$, $J_{8,1} = 0.5$ Hz), 2.33 d.d.d (anti-8-H, $^{2}J = 11.5, J_{8,5} = 4.8, J_{8,1} = 4.1$ Hz), 3.05 d.d.d (1-H, $J_{1,anti-8} = 4.1, J_{1,5} = 1.3, J_{1,syn-8} = 0.5$ Hz), 4.49 d.d (5-H, $J_{5,anti-8} = 4.8, J_{5,1} = 1.3$ Hz). ¹³C NMR spectrum $(CDCl_3-CCl_4), \delta_C, ppm: 59.23 d (C^1), 191.72 s (C^2),$ 124.43 s (C³), 161.37 s (C⁴), 79.09 d (C⁵), 80.81 s (C⁷), 38.05 t (C⁸), 29.44 q (C⁹), 25.84 q (C¹⁰), 23.27 q (C¹¹). Found: m/z 244.0099 $[M]^+$. C₁₀H₁₃BrO₂. Calculated: M 244.0093.

3,4-Dibromo-4,7,7-trimethyl-6-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]-octan-2-ol (VI). *N*-Bromosuccinimide, 0.235 g (1.32 mmol), was added under stirring to a mixture of 0.100 g (0.66 mmol) of (+)-*trans*-verbenol [(+)-**III**, $[\alpha]_D^{23} = +115.2^\circ$ (c = 0.12, CHCl₃)], 0.066 g (0.66 mmol) of CaCO₃, 2 ml of water, and 4 ml of dioxane. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at 50–60°C, cooled to room temperature, treated with 10 ml of water, and extracted with diethyl ether (2×20 ml). The

extracts were combined, washed with water $(4 \times 10 \text{ ml})$ and 0.1 N aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ (2×10 ml), and dried over Na_2SO_4 , 7.5 ml of hexane and 4 ml of diethyl ether were added to the residue, the solution was separated by decanting, and the solvent was distilled off. Yield 0.086 g (39%), $[\alpha]_{D}^{22} = -1.9^{\circ}$ (c = 0.94, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃), δ , ppm: 1.24 s (C⁹H₃), 1.32 s (C¹⁰H₃), 1.78 s (C¹¹H₃), 2.24 d.d.d.d (anti-8-H, ${}^{2}J = 13.0, J_{8.5} = 6.1, J_{8.1} = 4.2, J_{8.2} = 1.0$ Hz), 2.29 d.d $(1-H, J_{1,anti-8} = 4.2, J_{1,2} = 4.2 \text{ Hz}), 2.54 \text{ br.d}$ (OH, $J_{OH,2} =$ 2.6 Hz), 3.14 d (syn-8-H, $^{2}J = 13.0$ Hz), 4.05 d.d.d.d $(2-H, J_{2,1} = J_{2,3} = 4.2, J_{2,OH} = 2.6, J_{2,anti-8} = 1.0$ Hz), 4.30 d (5-H, $J_{5,anti-8}$ = 6.1 Hz), 4.51 d (3-H, $J_{3,2}$ = 4.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR spectrum (CDCl₃), δ_C , ppm: 46.68 d (C^{1}) , 70.45 d (C^{2}) , 62.00 d (C^{3}) , 68.81 s (C^{4}) , 83.37 d (C⁵), 82.53 s (C⁷), 28.56 t (C⁸), 29.82 q (C⁹), 22.27 q (C^{10}) , 30.91 q (C^{11}) . Found: m/z 325.9502 $[M]^+$. C₁₀H₁₆Br₂O₂. Calculated: *M* 325.9512.

This study was performed under financial support by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 08-03-13516-ofi_ts).

REFERENCES

- Polovinka, M.P., Korchagina, D.V., Gatilov, Yu.V., Vyglazov, O.G., Zenkovets, G.A., and Barkhash, V.A., *Russ. J. Org. Chem.*, 1998, vol. 34, p. 1283.
- 2. Cocker, W. and Grayson, D.H., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1969, vol. 10, p. 4451.
- 3. Roberts, J.D. and Trumbull, E.R., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1949, vol. 71, p. 1630.
- 4. Heikman, H., Baeckstrom, P., and Torssell, K., Acta Chem. Scand., 1968, vol. 22, p. 2034.
- Zweifel, G. and Whitney, C.C., J. Org. Chem., 1966, vol. 31, p. 4178.
- Li, Y., Padias, A.B., and Hall, H.K., J. Org. Chem., 1993, vol. 58, p. 7049.
- Pop, E., Browne, C.E., Nadler, V., Biegon, A., and Brewster, M.E., *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 1996, vol. 6, p. 1553.
- 8. Bulliard, M., Balme, G., and Gore, J., *Synthesis*, 1988, p. 972.
- 9. Startseva, V.A., Nikitina, L.E., and Plemenkov, V.V., *Russ. J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, vol. 37, p. 34.